UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,101	09/12/2003	Jeffrey George	60518-174	9106
	7590 06/14/2007 HOWARD ATTORNEYS	P.C.	EXAM	INER
THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE			LEIVA, FRANK M	
	WARD AVENUE D HILLS, MI 48304-5151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/662,101	GEORGE ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Frank M. Leiva	3714
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte afte - If NC - Failt Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Corns of 18 Communication of 18 Communi	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY of the communi	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12 September 2003.	
	•	This action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except for formal ma	iters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice un	ider <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	tion of Claims		•
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration.	
Applicat	tion Papers		•
,	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o]accepted or b)☐ objected to to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for [1] All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E	ments have been received. ments have been received in a e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2) Noti 3) Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :1/16/2004; 5/23/2005; 11/29/2005; (3) 3/25/2007...

Application/Control Number: 10/662,101

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120, of Continuation in Part of application number 09/967571 filed on 28 September 2001 is acknowledged. Any claims solely directed to originally supported subject matter present in the parent application will receive benefit of the filling date of the earlier filed application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Benoy et al. (US 6,896,618 B2) herein after Benoy.
- 4. **Regarding claims 1 and 24**; Benoy discloses a gaming network of casino games that implement a player tracking system and includes adjusting the players comp points information and attendant tool to assist players on the floor, (Abstract; Col. 4:19-34, Fig. 3a-b).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/662,101 Page 3

Art Unit: 3714

5. **Regarding claims 2-5 and 25-28**; Benoy discloses a remote system coupled with a wireless network interface using IEEE 802.11 standard, IEEE 802.11b and IEEE 802.11g, (Col. 10:6-37).

- 6. **Regarding claims 6,7, 19-23, 29-32, 40-44**; Benoy discloses using a wide area network system such as the Internet to communicate with remote devices. The examiner takes official notice that the use of Java servlets and web pages to assist user interaction with network programs is essential for today's internet applications, being Java the most popular yet not the only available language, the examiner can not claim that Java is inherent, yet the use of such web page creating languages is inherent, this including a login page, a menu layer, and hyper text mark up language.
- 7. Regarding claims 8-18, 30, 31, and 33-39; Benoy discloses a player tracking point system with a database that includes player information such as a player ID, address, time on games and much more, all databases use relational tables, and the data is formatted in such a manner as to be presented to a user in a format that they can comprehend. Relational tables being formed from a first data and second data to form a third data or fourth data table.

Citation of Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rowe et al (US 6,800,029 B2), portable device for rating players. Walker et al. (US 6,582,310 B1), enhanced player retention (player tracking systems). Wells (US 2003/0064805 A1), wireless game player. Criss-Puszkiewics et al (US 2002/0155887 A1), Universal Player Tracking System. McNutt et al (US 2001/0036858 A1), recognizing preferred wagerers.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank M. Leiva whose telephone number is (571) 272-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30am - 5:pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FML

06/08/2007

Robert E Pezzato

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3714